

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,056	03/16/2007	Katherine S. Bowdish	ALEX-P01-112	8249
28120 ROPES & GR.	7590 08/16/201 AYIIP	EXAMINER		
IPRM - Floor	43		EWOLDT, GERALD R	
PRUDENTIAI 800 BOYLSTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, MA	02199-3600		1644	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/16/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPatentMail@ropesgray.com USPatentMail2@ropesgray.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/583,056	BOWDISH ET AL.	
Examiner		Art Unit	
	G. R. Ewoldt, Ph.D.	1644	

	G. R. Ewoldt, Ph.D.	1644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
HE REPLY FILED <u>25 July 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. Mail The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. I no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN T MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension let have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension let under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (b) above; if checked. Any reply received by the Office latter than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any serned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4.137 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS						
 The proposed amendment(s) flied after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:, (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	. See attached Notice of No	on-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered						
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. \(\subseteq The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
12. ☑ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 7/25/11 13. ☐ Other:						
	/G. R. Ewoldt/ Primary Examiner,	Art Unit 1644				

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has not cited any significant new support in the disclosure for the genus of antibodies encompassed by the instant claims. Accordingly, the rejection under 112/1 for the introduction of new matter into the claims has been maintained for the reasons of record.

Regarding the newly submitted IDS, said IDS has not been considered as Applicant has not provided the statement required under 37 CFR 197(e). See MPEP 609.04(b)V.